

Lehigh County Conservation District
Road Program Application Ranking
11/13/14

Section 1: Application Validation

- | | |
|--|--------|
| 1. Is someone from the applying entity "ESM Certified" within the past 5 years? | YES NO |
| 2. Does this road negatively impact a stream, lake, wetland, or other body of water? | YES NO |
| 3. Will the proposed project reduce environmental impact to a water body? | YES NO |
| 4. Does the proposed application meet all SCC requirements (non-pollution, pipe size, etc.)? | YES NO |
| 5. Does the proposed application meet all policies adopted by the Lehigh County QAB? | YES NO |
| 6. Has the applicant identified and agreed to obtain all necessary permits? | YES NO |
| 7. LVR ONLY: If the traffic count is known at this point, is it 500 vehicles per day or less? | YES NO |

(Note traffic count is required before contract is signed)

If question 1 is answered "NO", the application is currently not eligible for funding

Section 2: Application Ranking

1. Worksite Assessment:

- | | |
|--|------------|
| a. Road Drainage to Stream: none- <u>0</u> Slight- <u>5</u> Moderate- <u>10</u> Severe- <u>15</u> | _____ (15) |
| b. Wet Site Conditions: Dry- <u>0</u> Saturated Ditches- <u>3</u> Roadside Spring- <u>5</u>
Flow in Ditches- <u>7</u> Saturated Base- <u>10</u> | _____ (10) |
| c. Road Surface Condition | |
| i. LVR EVALUATION: Pavement Condition Good- <u>0</u> Fair, some cracking- <u>5</u>
Poor, cracking, unevenness- <u>7</u> Damaged- <u>10</u> Severely Damaged- <u>15</u> | _____ (15) |
| ii. D&G EVALUATION: Hard Gravel- <u>0</u> Mixed stone- <u>5</u> Soft stone- <u>7</u>
Mixed stone/dirt/dust- <u>10</u> Severe Dust- <u>15</u> | |
| d. Road Slope: <5%- <u>0</u> 5-10%- <u>5</u> >10%- <u>10</u> | _____ (10) |
| e. Road Shape (cross-slope/crown): Good- <u>0</u> Fair- <u>3</u> Poor- <u>5</u> | _____ (5) |
| f. Slope to Stream: <5%- <u>0</u> 5-10%- <u>5</u> 10-20%- <u>10</u> >20%- <u>15</u> | _____ (15) |
| g. Distance to Stream: >100'- <u>0</u> 50'-100'- <u>3</u> <50'/crossing- <u>5</u> | _____ (5) |
| h. Outlets to Stream: None- <u>0</u> Near stream- <u>3</u> Directly to stream- <u>5</u> | _____ (5) |
| i. Outlet/Bleeder Stability: Stable- <u>0</u> Moderated- <u>3</u> Unstable- <u>5</u> | _____ (5) |
| j. Road Ditch Stability: Stable- <u>0</u> Fair- <u>3</u> Poor- <u>7</u> Unstable- <u>10</u> | _____ (10) |
| k. Road Bank Stability: Stable- <u>0</u> Fair- <u>3</u> Poor- <u>7</u> Unstable- <u>10</u> | _____ (10) |
| l. Average Canopy: Moderate- <u>0</u> Minimal- <u>3</u> Heavy- <u>5</u> | _____ (5) |
| m. Off-ROW Impacts Resolved: None- <u>0</u> Minimal- <u>3</u> Some- <u>7</u> Many- <u>10</u> | _____ (10) |
| 2. Classification of stream or waterbody impacted: | |
| Warmwater Fishery- <u>10</u> Coldwater Fishery- <u>20</u> HQ/EV/drinking water- <u>30</u> | _____ (30) |

Total Page 1 _____ (150)

Effectiveness of Solution

1. Degree to which project remediates impact to waterbody:
Slightly-0 Moderately-10 Highly-30 Almost completely-50 _____(50)

2. Degree to which project improves road:
Slightly-0 Moderately-10 Highly-30 Extremely high-50 _____(50)

3. Cost effectiveness: How much "Environmental benefit per dollar"
Low ben/\$-0 Moderate ben/\$-10 High ben/\$-30 Very high ben/\$-50 _____(50)

Other Factors

1. In-kind Contributions from Applicant:
1 to 10%-5 10-25%-10 Over 25%-15 _____(15)

2. Did applicant contact CD about this specific project before submitting application:
No-0 Discussed details with CD-10 Met w/CD on site-20 _____(20)

3. Is applicant maintaining recently funded program projects properly:
No-0 Recent project still functional-10 Yes (or first project)-15 _____(15)

Total page 2 _____(200)

Total Page 1 _____(150)

Total Score _____(350)